

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/681,480	Applicant(s) BJORK ET AL.	
	Examiner Anu Ramana	Art Unit 3733	

All Participants:

(1) Z. Peter Sawicki.

(2) Anu Ramana.
Status of Application: Under Final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2005
Time: 1:15 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 8, 10-12, 15 and 16-33

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


EDUARDO C. ROBERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted the Attorney of Record, Z. Peter Sawicki, and indicated that the amendment filed on May 2, 2006, did not present claim amendments with respect to the last version of claims of record (September 8, 2005). The Attorney of Record, authorized the Examiner to make changes to the last version of claims of record, by Examiner's Amendment, to place the instant application in condition for allowance. See attached Examiner's Amendment for details.